IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHERN DIVISION

DAVID STEBBINS,)
Plaintiff,)
v.))Case No. 10-3305-CV-S-RED
RELIABLE HEAT & AIR, LLC, et al., And)))
RANDAL RICHARDSON, et al.)
Defendants.	<i>)</i>)

SUGGESTION IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR HEARING

Comes now Plaintiff David Stebbins, who respectfully submits the following answer to the Defendants' motion motion for a hearing to determine the sufficiency of my objections to the Defendants' first interrogatories.

- The interrogatories are rendered moot by the motion to confirm the arbitration award, and the Defendants' failure to timely file any suggestions in opposition to that motion.
 Therefore, my objections should be sustained, as moot.
- 2. Defendants are required to confer with me via telephone regarding the discovery motion. A written request, such as an email, is not sufficient. Only if an answer is not obtained after that telephone conference may the Defendants petition the court for an order compelling discovery. See Local Rule 37.1. Defendants have not claimed to have done this, and even if they did, it would have been a lie, because I received no calls from the Defendants. Therefore, their motion for a hearing should be denied as procedurally premature.
- 3. Defendants claimed that I objected to Interrogatory #16, but I did not object to it. I

served the Defendant with an answer to the interrogatory.

4. Defendants claim that my objections are without "legal or proper" basis (whatever that

means). However, he provided no details. I cannot draft any sort of counter-argument

against it if he does not provide me with details, and I refuse to wait until the hearing

begins before I hear the Defendants' details, because that would prejudice me by

subjecting me to a trial by ambush.

5. My objections are not without legal basis. All the objections are either based on legal

privilege (such as my medical history, or my social security number), lack of relevance,

or "asked and answered," because the details were already provided in my complaint.

These are all valid reasons to object to a claim.

Wherefore, I respectfully pray that my objections be sustained, and a protective order be

entered against me in violation of

David Stebbins

David & tellen 1407 N Spring Rd,

Harrison, AR 72601

stebbinsd@yahoo.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

DAVID STEBBINS,)
Plaintiff,)
v.))
RELIABLE HEAT & AIR, LLC, et al., And)Case No. 10-3305-CV-S-RED)
RANDAL RICHARDSON, et al.)
Defendants.))

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Plaintiff David Stebbins, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of my Suggestion in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Hearing to Determine the Sufficiency of my Discovery Answers was served on Gary Allman, attorney for the Defense, by transmitting a copy via email transmission to garywallman@gmail.com on the 20th day of April, 2011.

> David & telbens **David Stebbins** 1407 N Spring Rd, **APT #5**

> > Harrison, AR 72601 stebbinsd@yahoo.com